

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/840,077	05/06/2004	Albert D. Venezzio JR.	VEN001P	7856
36927	7590 09/19/2	005	EXAMINER	
MICHAEL I	•	PHAM, MINI	PHAM, MINH CHAU THI	
P.O. BOX 18			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TOMS RIVE	R, NJ 08754-1818	1724		

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				10		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/840,077	VENEZZIO, ALBERT D.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Minh-Chau T. Pham	1724			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute teply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)□ 2a)□ 3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr				
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	` `	_				
2) ☐ Notic 3) ⊠ Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/6/04</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	/ (PTO-413) late Patent Application (PTO-152)			

M

Application/Control Number: 10/840,077

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carfagna et al (6,779,976 B1).

Carfagna et al disclose an air purifier (20) mounted on the leading edge (508) of a fan paddle (502) comprising a self-supporting framework (20), a filter medium (22) assembled to the framework, means on the framework for releasably mounting the filter medium to the leading edge (508) of the fan paddle (502) (see Figs. 2, 4 and 5). Carfagna et al further disclose the framework is formed of a material (22) that retains a shape to which it is bent, the purifier is assembled with the second surface of the filter medium in contact with the framework (see details of item 22 in Fig. 4). Carfagna et al. also disclose the air purifier to straddle a leading edge of a fan paddle comprising adhesive means (col. 4, lines 39-40), the filter medium having one side configured to entrap particles in the ambient air (col. 4, lines 39-48) and the filter medium being electrostatically charged (col. 1, lines 40-41). Claims 1-17 differ from the disclosure of Carfagna et al in that the structure of the filter medium having a symmetrical array of connected panels or irregular shape. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the shape of the filter medium in a symmetrical array of connected panels or irregular shape or any other Application/Control Number: 10/840,077

Art Unit: 1724

desired shape since it is well settled that mere change of shape without affecting the function of the part would have been an obvious design modification. See <u>Eskimo Pie</u>

<u>Corp v. Levous et al 3 USPQ 23.</u>

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau T. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-1163. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon/Tues/Thur/Fri 7:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh-Chau Pham Patent Examiner

Art Unit : 1724

September 15, 2005